

Entirely Predictable Failures

Poul-Henning Kamp

phk@FreeBSD.org phk@Varnish.org

POLSAG

"Integrated IT system for police"
Project start 2006
€ 21M
Roll-out complete 2009

POLSAG

2010 Pilot-test, smallest police district

Performance problems(!)

2012 entire project abandoned

Cost: € 65M

POLSAG

- Time: +100%
- Budget: +200%
- Quality: -100%

IC4 Contract signed 2000 All trains in traffic: 2004 Price: € 650M

IC4

Status: Trains not approved for service Unexplained failure to brake Cost: Tricky. Much horse-trading High cost for renting replacements Functionality: Hard to judge precisely

Not at all popular with travellers

IC4

Prediction: Experimental service 2012 Trains in limited service 2013 Cost: Parliament report 2011: € 1.2B Functionality: Less than expected

IC4

Time: +200%...+300% Budget: +100%...+150% Quality: -50%..-70%

RejseKort

€25M/y more expensive than paper tickets
...for each of the next 15 years.
Total extra cost: €365M
~= 1800 bus drivers

(Denmark has 570 bus routes)

Digital Tinglysning
 T:+200%, C:+200%, Q:-50%

System for "comparing high-schools"
 € 5M, 6 years, never used

DeMars (SAP/3 for military procurement)
 T:+100%, C:+15%, Q:-20%

•••

In the pipeline

SKAT

9000 pages of specification

- not counting laws & regulations

EPJ (Electronic healthcare system)
3rd iteration
no luck with 1st & 2nd iteration
total costs unknown but > € 1B

Competent:

Average result better than expected

Incompetent:

Average result worse than expected

Competent:

Average result better than expected

Incompetent:

Average result worse than expected

Dunning-Krüger:

The incompetent don't think so.

Inescapable Conclusion:

The Kingdom of Denmark is IT-incompetent

The mechanics of a disaster:

- 1. Formulate Goal
 Usually: "Save money"
- 2. Decide how to reach goal Usually: "Computerize"
- 3. Profit!
 Usually: Not!

Fresh Example:

- 1. Formulate Goal
 - Elections are too expensive
 - Reduced secrecy of voting for handicapped
 - Young people not interested
 - Man-power not readily available
 - Disqualified votes
- 2. Decide how to reach goal
 Lets experiment with computer-voting
- 3. Profit!

Three phases of Danish elections:

1: Totally open/transparent
 Produce list of voters, ballots etc.
 Mail election notice card to voters
 Voter swaps notice card to ballot

Major costs: Postage, IT-transaction fees

Three phases of Danish elections:

2: Totally secret Voter marks ballot Voter drops ballot in urn

Major cost: Food & pay for supervisors

Three phases of Danish elections:

3: Totally open/transparent Ballots counted by hand Cross-checks



Major cost: Public employees second count.

Quality of Danish elections:

- Very high voting participation
- Very high trust in results
- Almost non-existent voting fraud
- Used as a model & exported to other countries

Cost of Danish elections:

- € 1.30 per capita per year.

Lene Hartig Danielsen Chef for Borgerservice Aarhus

#1



Det gode valg?







Say What ?

"We plan to use between €.13M and €13M"

"No, we don't have a business case"

No factual/numerical basis

No credible theory that "solution" would actually fix "problems"

What happened ?

Quality of programs

< 100 computers in Europe Very few people program, most phds. Write programs carefully

For the lost generation...

- "Recovery strategy" means "reboot"
- Printer drivers can crash the OS
- "Security" comes from virus-scans
- "Programming" == Visual Basic/Excel Macros
- Spreadsheets are (also) databases
- And it all works as if by magic...

Age of MP's:

For the lost generation...

10 DIM A\$(20) 20 READ A\$ 30 PRINT A\$,"is going to solve all our problems" 40 WAIT 63115200 50 GOTO 20 100 REM XXX: Incomplete list 110 DATA "Win95", "Win2000", "Java", ".NET", "WinXP" 120 DATA "MySQL", "PERL", "LAMP", "Scripting", "XML" 130 DATA "SAS", "C#", "UML", "Cloud", "SMP", "IPv6" 140 DATA "Prototyping", "F#", "AJAX", "Agile", "XP" 150 DATA "DevOps", "AntiPatterns", "KanBan", "NoSQL" 160 DATA "UX"

...hope springs eternal

<u>10 DIM A</u>\$(20) 20 READ A\$ 30 PRINT A\$, "is going to solve all our problems" 40 WAIT 63115200 50 GOTO 20 100 REM XXX: Incomplete list 110 DATA "COBOL", "FORTRAN", "ALGOL", "SIMULA" 120 DATA "BASIC", "PASCAL", "SQL", "Waterfall" 130 DATA "AI", "Yourdon", "PL/1", "RDBMS", "CASE" 140 DATA "4GL", "UNIX", "C++", <u>"Win95", "Win2000"</u> 150 DATA "Java", ".NET", "WinXP", "MySQL", "PERL" 160 DATA "LAMP", "Scripting", "XML", "SAS", "C#" 170 DATA "UML", "Cloud", "SMP", "IPv6", "Prototyping" 180 DATA "F#", "AJAX", "Agile", "XP", "DevOps" 190 DATA "AntiPatterns", "KanBan", "NoSQL", "UX"

How to start an IT-fashion

- Get random idea
- Give it a edgy & hip name
- Try it with a small group of talented people
- Write book, claim it solves all problems
- Go on speaking/course circuit to flog book
- Goto step 1 before they catch on to you.

There is no Silver Bullet

- 1987 paper by Frederic P. Brooks

- Who wrote "The Mythical Man-Month" in 1975

...Which, amongst much other wisdom, said you can arrange your dev-team any f**king way you want, as long as it has lots of talent.

Why there is no Silver Bullet:

- Dijkstra, IFIP congress 1965:

"Programming considered as a human activity"

"I have only a very small head and must live with it."

Computing is hard!

- Complexity 1000 times higher than stuff
- Air Craft Carrier CVN-78 "Gerald Ford"
 1 mio parts, total.
- FreeBSD Kernel1.8 mio lines of code
- F22 "The Final Fighter"2 mio lines of code
- F35 "JSF" fighter 8 mio lines of code (estimated, only 50% compl.)

Code is buggy

- "Space shuttle Quality"
 - 0.1 bug per 1000 lines of code
- "High Quality"
 - 1 bug per 1000 lines of code
- "Release quality"

15-50 bugs per 1000 lines of code

Neoclassic computing ?

We are slowly relearning what we knew pre 1990:

- Programming is complex and difficult
- Best shot: Intentional programming
- Craft & Techniques
- Think/Architect/Design before prototyping
- <u>Always</u> throw the prototype away
- Don't fuck with important stuff if it works

A long uphill battle:

Banish IT-homoeopathy / Cargo-Culting

Use Scientific Tools

Transparency

Accountability

Investigate & Publish "Lessons Learned"

Official "IT-disaster investigators" ?

Those¹ who don't learn from history are doomed to repeat it

1: A.k.a: IT-professionals